

Transcript from end of meeting:

to provide submission. So in anticipation that the meeting would be hopefully rather short, that would be predicated the agenda for December 2. So we will have that out to you as soon as possible for your review and for any input on the final agenda, and for preparation for submission to Congress and for posting on the take on disease website. I would like to open it up for any final comments or questions.

>> I have a question, so one of the chapters past [passed] with the eight and six vote was a significant, a division of the working group. So I am presuming there will be an opportunity to write the minority report for those who voted against that. How will that be drafted and coordinated and added into the report, and doesn't [does it] require any formal review by the working group at large?

>> Thank you for that question. So let's just turn that over to Jennifer in terms of when they need the submission of those minority reports for insertion into the template. Jennifer, could you comment?

>> Our writers and myself will reach out to see what you want to do for that minority report and we will give you that due date and work with you. We will do all that copying on it, but as far as the other one, that minority responses were not edited nor commented on by other working group members.

>> Thank you. It is reasonable to expect there could be more than one minority response because different people will have a minority response to different aspects of the chapters.

>> Is there any kind of procedure of best practices for trying to coordinate this? I mean, it would not look very good for the report, probably, if you had different minority reports that all said different versions of the same thing, right?

>> Maybe the writers could coordinate that as opposed to trying to get six people to sit down together and write a single report. So I don't know. Jennifer can comment, but it strikes me that this is where the writers, and and correlate all of them. To the people have to sign that they are on the minority report, or it remains pretty much anonymous?

>> Anonymous.>> It seems to be the minorities may each have an original idea or concept to convey, so there is anyway to remove redundancies, if there are redundancies, it would be important to know that. May convey overall meeting and context and frequency and numbers.

>> Are you suggesting that minority reports that are submitted to the people, they get their own minority reports,

>> I think they should each be written individually.

>> I agree.

>> If there is anything the editors can think of that might simplify things, it is just if you remove things that multiple hillside, it dilutes the prevalence of that point. I almost think they should be listed without attribution.

>> So why don't everyone do just that? Produce your minority report as you see fit and allow for the writers to have the intake of those, and we will go from there.

>> React to the data.

>> That is correct. This might be a record where we actually end relatively on time, so thanks for all the hard work, not just today, but my goodness, it has been a long journey, and again, we are close to celebrating the hard work that has gone into this enormous effort. I just ask if there is any other comments before we close out the meeting?

>> I have a comment about the agenda for the last meeting. I would like to see if it is possible that you know, Jim or someone from HHS could present something about their new partnership, because they issued a press release, but that is basically all the information that has come out, and someone should talk about it. Spirit Pat, this is Jim. We take that on as something welcome to do at the next meeting.