

Comment on IDSA Guidelines

The Deutsche Borreliose Gesellschaft e.V. (German Society of Lyme-Borreliosis) has objections to the IDSA Guidelines 2006. The objections relate to the late lyme disease (LD), chronic lyme borreliosis and the so-called post lyme syndrome.

- LD is always associated with a generalized dissemination throughout the entire organism, in other words with the involvement of the CNS, too. The antibiotic treatment should therefore be carried out with antibiotics that penetrate the CNS, irrespective of the various manifestations of the illness (arthritis, neuroborreliosis, neuropathy, ACA, carditis, encephalopathy). The antibiotics recommended by the IDSA, namely doxycycline, amoxicillin and cefuroxime, do not penetrate the CNS, unlike minocycline and gemifloxacin; the i.v. applied cephalosporines of third generation obviously induce high concentration in CSF because high dosage is applicable referred to the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC).
- Seronegativity is a frequent occurrence with LD and does not rule out a chronic persistent lyme borreliosis (1-18).
- Contrary to the opinion of the IDSA, the following antibiotics and methods of treatment have proven to be advantageous: carbapenems, ketolides and gemifloxacin (19), pulsed-dosing (20).
- The differential diagnosis MS / LNB based on serological investigations in CSF and serum is not possible in 25% of the cases (9-11, 21).
- Peripheral neuropathy is not rare but occurs in over 20% of the cases with LD (22-25).
- So-called two-tier testing is not suitable for a serological diagnosis of LB. This is particularly true of the late phase too for the following reasons:
 - o The sensitivity of the screening tests is 50%-90%
 - o The test methods available on the market are not standardized with respect to their analytical value

- The sensitivity of the western blot is around 10% higher than that of the screening test
- This different sensitivity thus means that there is a risk that the screening test will be negative whereas the western blot shows positive
- Neither the screening test nor the western blot guarantee the proof of a borreliosis infection, i.e. there is a problem of seronegativity (based on the screening test and western blot) even though the illness persists and has been confirmed by identification of pathogenic agent.
- Objections to the proposed definition of post-lyme-disease-syndrome of IDSA:
 - Antibiotic treatment according to standard (guidelines IDSA) do not guarantee an elimination of the LB
 - If subjective complaints do not lead to a significant disturbance of the quality of life, the assumption of an illness (PLS) is not necessary
- The disease situation described by Steere et al (26) as minor signs and symptoms and by Bujak (27) as a post-lyme syndrome represented serious discomforts for the affected patients that were comparable with decompensated cardiac insufficiency, degenerative joint diseases, pronounced diabetes mellitus or a condition after a myocardial infarction according to the accounts of Klempner et al (2).
- The following facts suggest the existence of a chronic lyme borreliosis due to vital *Borrelia*:
 - Persistent symptoms of an LB with identification despite intensive antibiotic treatment (28-46)
 - Members of the Deutsche Borreliose Gesellschaft have documented 150 such cases (ISBN 978-3-640-19378-3, submitted to Future Drugs, Expert Review of antiinfective therapy)
 - *Borrelia* could still be identified in the skin even after multiple antibiotic treatment with ceftriaxone, doxycycline and cefotaxime (47-49)

- There is an extensive body of literature on the existence of a chronic lyme borreliosis (45, 50-55)
- The pathogen could be cultured in every stage of LB (28-44), even after intensive antibiotic treatment (20, 41, 56-60)
- The resistance of Bb to numerous antibiotics has been proven (61)
- Numerous publications deal with chronic LB and the problems of its antibiotic treatment (20, 48-49, 62-66)
- The antibiotic treatment of EM displays a therapeutic failure rate of 10% (15, 41, 45, 47, 67-74)
- There is a high therapeutic failure rate for the antibiotic treatment of lyme borreliosis in its late phase (52, 54-56, 65, 75-77)
- The so called adequate antibiotic therapy (according to IDSA guidelines) is subject to reservations:
 - Because of possible resistance of Bb to different antibiotics (included those recommended by IDSA guidelines) change to another antibiotic may be indicated (cf. 61)
 - (Erythromycine is not suitable for treatment of LB (26, 83-85))
 - Duration of treatment depends on organic manifestations, degree and course of disease (therapeutic effect) (cf. 2, 20, 25-26, 41, 45-47, 49, 51, 53-54, 56, 60-66, 71-73, 75, 86-94)

References

1. Kalish RA et al, Persistence of Immunoglobulin M or Immunoglobulin G Antibody Responses to *Borrelia burgdorferi* 10-20 Years after Active Lyme Disease, *Clinical Infectious Diseases* (2001), 33: 780-5
2. Klempner M et al, Two controlled trials of antibiotic treatment in patients with persistent symptoms and a history of Lyme disease, *N Engl J Med* (2001), 345: 85-92
3. Dejmekova H et al, Seronegative Lyme arthritis caused by *Borrelia garinii*, *Clinical Rheumatology* (2002), 21(4): 330-4
4. Tylewska-Wierzbanska S, Chmielewski T, Limitation of serologic testing for Lyme borreliosis: evaluation of ELISA and western blot in comparison with PCR and culture methods, *Wien Klin Wochenschr* (2002), 114(13-14): 501-5
5. Breier F et al, Isolation and polymerase chain reaction typing of *Borrelia afzelii* from a skin lesion in a seronegative patient with generalized ulcerating bullous lichen sclerosus et atrophicus, *Br J Dermatol* (2001), 144(2): 387-392
6. Wang P, Hilton E, Contribution of HLA alleles in the regulation of antibody production in Lyme disease, *Front Biosci* (2001), 6:B10-B16
7. Grignolo MC et al, Reliability of a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique in the diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis, *Minerva Med* (2001), 92(1): 29-33
8. Honegr K et al, Persistence of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* in patients with Lyme borreliosis, *Epidemiol Mikrobiol Immunol* (2001), 50(1): 10-6
9. Eldoen G et al, Lyme neuroborreliosis in More and Romsdal, *Tidsskrift for Den Norske Laegeforening* (2001), 121(17): 2008-11
10. Wilke M et al, Primarily chronic and cerebrovascular course of Lyme neuroborreliosis: case reports and literature review, *Arch Dis Child* (2000), 83(1): 67-71
11. Bertrand E et al, Central nervous system infection caused by *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Clinico-pathological correlation of three post-mortem cases, *Folia Neuropathol* (1999), 37(1): 43-51
12. Oksi J et al, *Borrelia burgdorferi* detected by culture and PCR in clinical relapse of disseminated Lyme borreliosis, *Annals of Medicine* (1999), 31(3): 225-32
13. Aberer E et al, Heterogeneity of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in the skin, *American Journal of Dermatopathology* (1996), 18(6): 571-9
14. Luft BJ et al, Azithromycin compared with amoxicillin in the treatment of erythema migrans. A double-blind, randomized, controlled trial, *Annals of Internal Medicine* (1996), 124(9): 785-91

15. Mursic VP et al, Formation and cultivation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* spheroplast L-form variants, *Infection* (1996), 24(3): 218-26
16. Coyle PK et al, Detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi*-specific antigen in antibody negative cerebrospinal fluid in neurologic Lyme disease, *Neurology* (1995), 45: 2010-2014
17. Häupl T et al, Persistence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in ligamentous tissue from a patient with chronic Lyme borreliosis, *Arthritis & Rheumatism* (1993), 36(11): 1621-6
18. Nadelman RB et al, Isolation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from the blood of seven patients with Lyme disease, *American Journal of Medicine* (1990), 88: 21-6
19. Hunfeld K-P et al, Standardized in vitro susceptibility testing of *Borrelia burgdorferi* against well-known and newly developed antimicrobial agents – Possible implications for new therapeutic approaches to Lyme disease, *Int J Med Microbiol* (2002), 291 (suppl 33): 125-137
20. Hassler D et al, Pulsed high dose cefotaxime therapy in refractory Lyme borreliosis, *Lancet* 338 (1991), 193 (Letter)
21. Keller TL et al, PCR detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* DNA in cerebrospinal fluid of Lyme neuroborreliosis patients, *Neurology* (1992), 42(1):32-42
22. Kindstrand E et al, Polyneuropathy in late Lyme borreliosis – a clinical, neurophysiological and morphological description, *Acta Neurol Scand* (2000), 101(1):47-52
23. Halperin JJ, Lyme disease and the peripheral nervous system, *Muscle Nerve* (2003), 28(2):133-43
24. Kristoferitsch W, *Neuropathie bei Lyme-Borreliose*, Springer Verlag Wien/New York, 1989
25. Asch ES et al, Lyme Disease: An Infectious and Postinfectious Syndrome, *The Journal of Rheumatology* (1994), 21:3
26. Steere AC et al, Treatment of early manifestations of Lyme Disease, *Ann Intern Med* (1983), 99:22-26
27. Bujak DI et al, Clinical and neurocognitive features of the post Lyme syndrome, *J Rheumatol* (1996), 23(8):1392-7
28. Johnson RC, Isolation techniques for spirochetes and their sensitivity to antibiotics in vitro and in vivo, *Rev. Infect. Dis.* (1989) 11 Suppl 6: 1505-10
29. Asbrink E, Hovmark A, Successful cultivation of spirochetes from skin lesions of patients with erythema chronicum migrans afzelius and acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans, *Acta pathol. Microbiol. Immunol. Scand. Sect.* (1985), B 93: 161-163

30. Preac-Mursic V et al, European *Borrelia burgdorferi* isolated from humans and ticks culture conditions and antibiotic susceptibility, *Zentralbl. Bakteriolog. Mikrobiol. Hyg.* (1986), A 263(1-2): 112-8
31. Pfister HW et al, Latent Lyme neuroborreliosis: Presence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* without concurrent inflammatory signs, *Neurology* (1989) 39: 1118-1120
32. Nadelmann RB et al, Isolation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from the blood of seven patients with Lyme disease, *Am. J. Med.* (1990), 88: 21-26
33. Nadelmann RB et al, Detecting *Borrelia burgdorferi* in blood from patients with Lyme disease, *J. Infect. Dis.* (1994), 169 (6): 1410-1
34. Berger BW et al, Cultivation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from the blood of two patients with erythema migrans lesions lacking extracutaneous signs and symptoms of Lyme disease, *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol* (1994), 30 (1): 48-51
35. Goodman JL et al, Bloodstream invasion in early Lyme disease: results from a prospective, controlled, blinded study using the polymerase chain reaction, *Am. J. Med* (1995), 99(1): 6-12
36. Koning J de, Hoogkamp-Korstanje JA, Diagnosis of Lyme disease by demonstration of spirochetes in tissue biopsies, *Zentralbl. Bakteriolog. Mikrobiol. Hyg.* (1986), A. 263(1-2): 179-88
37. Koning J de et al, Demonstration of spirochaetes in patients with Lyme disease with a modified silver stain, *J. Med. Microbiol.* (1987) 23(3): 261-7
38. Koning J de et al, Demonstration of spirochetes in cardiac biopsies of patients with Lyme disease, *J. Infect. Dis.* (1989), 160(1): 150-3
39. Stanek G et al, Isolation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from the myocardium of a patient with longstanding cardiomyopathy, *N. Engl. J. Med.* (1990), 322(4): 249-52
40. Schmidli J et al, Cultivation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from joint fluid three months after treatment of facial palsy due to Lyme borreliosis, *J. Infect. Dis.* (1988), 158: 905-906
41. Preac-Mursic V et al, Survival of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in antibiotic treated Patients with Lyme Borreliosis, *Infection* (1989), 17: 355-359
42. Häupl T et al, Persistence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in ligamentous tissue from a patient with chronic Lyme borreliosis, *Arthritis Rheum.* (1993), 36(11): 1621-6
43. Johnston YE et al, Lyme Arthritis. Spirochetes found in synovial microangiopathic lesions, *Am. J. Pathol.* (1985), 118: 26-34
44. Weber K et al, Spirochetes isolated from two patients with Morphaea, *Infection* (1988), 16: 25-26

45. Phillips SE et al, A proposal for the reliable culture of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from patients with chronic Lyme disease, even from those previously aggressively treated, *Infection* (1998), 26(6):364-7
46. Kleemann, W et al, Prolonged antibiotic therapy in PCR confirmed persistent Lyme disease, submitted to *Future Drugs*, Expert Review of antiinfective therapy
47. Steere AC, Lyme-Disease, *New Engl. J. Med.* (1989), 321: 586-596
48. Dattwyler RJ et al, Treatment of late Lyme-Borreliosis – Randomised comparison of Ceftriaxone and Penicillin, *Lancet*, (1988a) 1191-1194
49. Hassler D et al, Cefotaxime versus penicillin in the late stage of Lyme disease – prospective, randomized therapeutic study, *Infection* (1990) 18(1): 16
50. Brorson O, Brorson SH, An in vitro study of the susceptibility of mobile and cystic forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to hydroxychloroquine, *Int. mikrobiol* (2002), 5: 25-31
51. Sigal LH, Treatment of Lyme Disease, UpToDate 2006
52. Logigian EL et al, Chronic neurologic manifestations of Lyme disease, *N. Engl. J. Med.* (1990), 323: 1438-1444
53. Logigian EL et al, Successful Treatment of Lyme Encephalopathy with iv. Ceftriaxone, *J. infect. Dis.* (1999), 180: 377-383
54. Ziska MH et al, Physician Preferences in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Lyme Disease in the United States, *Infection* (1996) 24 No. 2, MMV Medizin Verlag GmbH, München, 1996
55. Asch ES et al, Lyme Disease: Ann. Infectious and Postinfectious Syndrome, *J. Rheumatol.* (1994), 21: 454-456
56. Hassler D, Langzeitbeobachtungen zum Krankheitsbild der Lyme-Borreliose in einem Endemiegebiet, Habilitationsschrift Universität Erlangen (1997)
57. Koning J de, Histopathologic Aspects of Lyme Borreliosis, Groningen (1995), 145 S., Selbstverlag
58. Kraiczy P et al, Mechanism of complement resistance of pathogenic *Borrelia burgdorferi* isolates, *Intern. Immunopharmacol* (2001), 1: 393-401
59. Kraiczy P et al, Immune evasion of *Borrelia burgdorferi*; Insufficient killing of the pathogen by complement and antibody, *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* (2002), 291: 141-146 (Suppl.33)

60. Duray PH, Steere AC, Clinical pathologic correlations of Lyme disease by stage. In: Lyme disease and related disorders, Ann. NY Acad. Sci., (1988), 539: 65-79
61. Hunfeld K-P et al, In vitro susceptibility testing of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato isolates cultured from patients with erythema migrans before and after antimicrobial chemotherapy, Antimicrob Agents Chemother. (2005), 49(4): 1294-301
62. Hassler D, Cefotaxim in der Behandlung der chronischen Lyme-Borreliose, Fortschr. Antimicrob. Antineopl. Chemother. (1992) 11:109-118
63. Hassler D, Maiwald M, Zweimalige Re-Infektion mit *Borrelia burgdorferi* bei einem immunkompetenten Patienten, Dtsch Med Wochenschr (1994), 119: 338-42
64. Liu NY et al, Randomized trial of doxycycline vs. amoxicillin/probenecid for the treatment of Lyme arthritis: treatment of non responders with iv penicillin or ceftriaxone, Arthritis Rheum. (1989), 32: 46
65. Steere AC et al, Treatment of Lyme Arthritis, Arthritis & Rheumatism (1994), 37: 878-888
66. Halperin JJ, Abnormalities of the nervous System in Lyme Disease: Response to antimicrobial Therapy, Rev. of Inf. Dis., Vol II, Sppl. 6 (1989), 1499-1504
67. Steere AC, Seronegative Lyme disease, JAMA (1993), 270(11): 1369
68. Weber K et al, A randomized Trial of Ceftriaxone versus Oral Penicillin for the Treatment of Early European Lyme Borreliosis, Infection (1990), 18: 91-96
69. Weber K et al, Clinical features of Lyme Borreliosis, In: Weber K, Burgdorfer W: Aspects of Lyme Borreliosis, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1993), 93-104
70. Strie F et al, Azithromycin versus doxycycline for treatment of erythema migrans: clinical and microbiological findings, Infection (1993), 21(2): 83-8
71. Manning PG, Fulminant refractory Lyme disease, Iowa Med (1989), 79:277-80
72. Gasser R et al, Cases of Lyme borreliosis resistant to conventional treatment: improved symptoms with cephalosporin plus specific beta-lactamase inhibition, Microb Drug Resist (1995), 1:341-4
73. Limbach FX et al, Treatment resistant Lyme arthritis caused by *Borrelia garinii*, Ann Rheum Dis (2001), 60:284-6
74. Thanassi WT, Schoen RT, The Lyme disease vaccine: conception, development, and implementation, Ann Intern Med (2000), 132:661-668
75. Dattwyler RJ et al, Treatment of late Lyme disease, Lancet (1988), 1: 1191-4

76. Dattwyler RJ et al, Treatment of late Lyme disease – a comparison of 2 weeks vs 4 weeks of ceftriaxone, VII International Congress of Lyme Borreliosis, San Francisco (1996), abstract D662
77. Steere AC et al, The spirochetal etiology of Lyme disease, *N Engl J Med* (1983), 308:733-40
78. Goettner G et al, Improvement of Lyme borreliosis serodiagnosis by a newly developed recombinant immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM line immunoblot assay and addition of VlsE and DbpA homologues, *J Clin Microbiol* (2005), 43(8):3602-9
79. Bingnan MA et al, Serodiagnosis of Lyme Borreliosis by Western Immunoblot: Reactivity of Various Significant Antibodies against *Borrelia burgdorferi*, *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* (1992), 30(2):370-376
80. Tilton RC et al, The Western Immunoblot for Lyme Disease: Determination of Sensitivity, Specificity, and Interpretive Criteria with Use of Commercially Available Performance Panels, *Clin Infect Dis* (1997), 25(Suppl1):31-4
81. Aguero-Rosenfeld ME et al, Diagnosis of Lyme Borreliosis, *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* (2005), 484-509
82. Lomholt H et al, Long-term serological follow-up of patients treated for chronic cutaneous borreliosis or culture-positive erythema migrans, *Acta Derm Venereol* (2000), 80(5):362-6
83. Hansen K et al, Roxithromycin in Lyme borreliosis: discrepant results of an in vitro and in vivo animal susceptibility study and a clinical trial in patients with erythema migrans, *Acta Dermatologica Venereologica* (1992), 72:297-300
84. Oschmann P, Kaiser R, Therapy and prognosis. IN: Oschmann P et al: Lyme borreliosis and tick-borne encephalitis, UNI-Med Verlag AG (1999b), International Medical Publishers, Bremen, Germany, pp. 112-119
85. Wormser GP et al, Practice guidelines for the treatment of Lyme disease, The Infectious Diseases Society of America, *Clin Infect Dis* (2000), 31(Suppl. 1):1-14
86. Dattwyler RJ et al, Ceftriaxone as effective therapy in refractory Lyme disease, *J Infect Dis* (1987), 155:1322-5
87. Oksi J et al, Comparison of oral cefixime and intravenous ceftriaxone followed by oral amoxicillin in disseminated Lyme borreliosis, *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* (1988), 17(10):715-9
88. Fallon BA et al, A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of repeated IV antibiotic therapy for Lyme encephalopathy, *Neurology* (2007), 10(Epub ahead of print)
89. Massengo SA et al, Severe neuroborreliosis: The benefit of prolonged high-dose combination of antimicrobial agents with steroids- - an illustrative case,

Department of Neurology, Centre Hospitalier de Mont de Marsan, 40000 Mont de Marsan, France

90. Kaplan R et al, Cognitive function in post-treatment Lyme disease: do additional antibiotics help?, *Neurology* (2003), 60:1916-1922
91. Krupp I et al, Study and treatment of post Lyme disease (STOP-LD): a randomized double masked clinical trial, *Neurology* (2003), 60:1923-1930
92. Pfister HW et al, Randomized comparison of ceftriaxone and cefotaxime in Lyme neuroborreliosis, *J Infect Dis* (1991), 163(2):311-8
93. Kohlhepp W et al, Treatment of Lyme borreliosis. Randomized comparison of doxycycline and penicillin, *J Neurol* (1989), 236:464-69
94. Gasser R und Dusleag J, Oral treatment of late lyme borreliosis with roxythromycine plus co-trimoxazole, *Lancet* (1990), 1189-90

Treatment recommendation for Lyme disease (According to recent discussion with Deutsche Borreliose Gesellschaft)

Antibiotics which are effective against *Borrelia burgdorferi* (Bb) belong to different groups. However, only few substances of each group are effective against Bb, the only effective chinolone is gemifloxacin (cf. table 1).

Table 1
Groups of antibiotics suitable for treatment of LB

Betalactames
Tetracyclines
Macrolides
Chinolones
Nitroimidazoles

Antibiotics effective against Bb are displayed in table 2, regarding the intracellular efficiency, effectiveness in the central nervous system (CNS), half-time of plasma concentration and efficiency on cystic forms (round bodies) and biofilms.

Table 2
Effective antibiotics in Lyme Borreliosis

Antibiotic	Intracellularly effective	Effective in CNS	Effective against cysts (round bodies)	Effective against biofilm	Half-time of concentration in plasma
Betalactames					
Ceftriaxone	-	(+)*	-	-	8 h
Cefotaxim	-	(+)*	-	-	1 h
Cefuroxim-Axetil	-	-	-	-	1 h
(Benzyl-Penicillin)					
(G-Penicillin)	-	+	-	-	40 min
(Benzyl-Penicillin)					
(Benzanthin)	-	+	-	-	3 d
(Phenoxymethyl-Penicillin)	-	-	-	-	30 min
Amoxicillin	-	-	-	-	1 h
Imipenem	-	(+) (5%)	-	-	1 h
Mezlocillin	-	(+)*	-	-	1 h
Ertapenem	-	(+)*	-	-	1 h
Meronem	-	(+)*	-	-	1 h
Piperacillin	-	(+)*	-	-	45 min

Tetracyclines					
Doxycyclin	+	(+) (14%)	-	-	15 h
Minocyclin	+	(+) (40%)	-	-	15 h
Macrolides					
Clarithromycin	+	-(2-5%)	-	-	4 h
Acithromycin	+	+****	-	-	68 h (half-time in tissue)
Chinolones					
Gemifloxacin	+	+(20%)	-	-	>12 h
Tinidazol	+	+	+	+	10 h
Metronidazol	+	+	+	+	7 h

Half-time of concentration in plasma, effectiveness in the CNS, intracellular effectiveness and effectiveness on cysts (round bodies), concentration in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)/blood concentration in percent.

*** Betalactames reach only low concentration in the CSF but cerebral tissue concentration is sufficient because of the wide therapeutic range), significantly exceeding the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC). (cf. 164)**

**** Tinidazol and metronidazol are effective against biofilms (tinidazol more than metronidazol, Kaur N et al, 2010 (unpublished)(70))**

***** Acithromycin: high enrichment in the CNS, but not found in cerebrospinal fluid**

Monotherapy, i.e. the treatment of LB with only one antibiotic is listed in table 3.

However, new scientific findings show that monotherapy can only be used in the early stage of LB, that means in case of Erythema migrans or with a general medical condition compatible with early LB (in absence of EM). Antibiotic monotherapy success rate reaches 90%, treatment failure would be about 10%. If not effective, a change of the antibiotic is needed not later than 2 weeks after beginning the treatment with the first antibiotic. In stage III (late Lyme Disease, chronic Lyme Disease), antibiotic monotherapy shows a treatment failure in half of the cases. That failure is due to the fact that no antibiotic exists, which has all the capabilities needed for a successful treatment. Scientific research pleads for the necessity of a synchronically combined antibiotic treatment. The above mentioned antibiotics are elements of such combination, as shown in table 6-8.

**Table 3
Antibiotic Monotherapy of LB**

Stage	Antibiotic	Dosage	Duration
--------------	-------------------	---------------	-----------------

Early Stage (localized)	Doxycyclin	400 mg/d	Depending on the course of disease, at least 4 weeks, in case of treatment failure change of antibiotic after 2 weeks
	Acithromycin	250 mg/d	
	Amoxicillin	3000 mg/d	
	Cefuroxime	500 mg/d	
	Clarithromycin	500 mg/d	
Early Stage (disseminated)	Ceftriaxone	2 g	Depending on course of disease, in case of treatment failure change of the antibiotic after 6 to 8 weeks, complete duration of antibiotic treatment 3 months or longer
	Cefotaxim	2-3 x 4 g	
	Mezlocillin	2 x 4 g	
	Imipenem	2 x 1 g	
	Ertapenem	1 g	
	Meropenem	2 x 1 g	
	Piperacillin	2 x 4 g	
	Penicillin-G	24 Mio./d	
	Minocyclin*	200 mg/d	
	Doxycyclin*	400 mg/d	
	Acithromycin	500 mg/d	
	Clarithromycin	2 x 500 mg/d	
Gemifloxacin	320 mg		
Chronic LB (Stage III)	Like disseminated early stage		3 months or longer
	Benzyl-Penicillin-	1.2 Mega 2x per we	
	Benzathin	250 mg	
	Tinidazol	400 mg	In a combined long- term antibiotics
	Metronidazol		
	Imipenem	2 x 1 g	
	Meropenem	2 x 1 g	
	Mezlocillin	2 x 4 g	
	Ertapenem	1 g	
	Piperacillin	2 x 4 g	
	Gemifloxacin	320 mg	6 weeks or longer
	Vancomycin	2 x 500 mg	(no sufficient data)

Remarks: control of blood count, ALAT, lipase creatinin.

Treatment with Ceftriaxone requires sonography of the gall bladder every two weeks in order to exclude sludge. When macrolides are used ECG is needed every two weeks. In all cases of antibiotic treatment of LB Herxheimer reaction may evolve, severe reactions are treated by one dosage of corticoides parenterally. In most cases interruption of antibiotic therapy for some days is sufficient before antibiotic treatment can be restarted with lower dosages in the first days.

Antibiotic treatment in the different stages of LB is shown in table 4.

Table 4
Stage-related antibiotic treatment of LB
(monotherapy)

Stage I
(early stage, EM)

Antibiotic	Daily dosage
Doxycyclin	400 mg
Acithromycin	250 mg
Amoxicillin	3 g
Cefuroxim	500 mg
Clarithromycin	1 g

Duration of treatment in general 4 weeks, change of antibiotic when ineffective after 2 weeks

Stage II
(acute LB und acute neuroborreliosis)

Antibiotic	Daily dosage
Ceftriaxone	2 g
Cefotaxim	2 x 4 g
Penicillin	24 Mio.
Ertapenem	1 gm
Piperacillin	2 x 4 g
Mezlocillin	2 x 4 g

Duration of treatment 4 weeks, afterwards treatment as recommended for stage III

Remark: Since monotherapy of LB in stage III has a failure rate of about 50%, a synchronically combined antibiotics is indicated. (cf. table 6-8)

Stage III
(Late disease, chronic LB)

Antibiotic	Daily dosage
Ceftriaxone	2 g
Cefotaxim	2 x 4 g
Benzyl-Penicillin-Benzathin	1.2 Mega, 2x per week
	1 gm
Ertapenem	2 x 1 g
Imipenem	2 x 1 g
Meropenem	2 x 1 g
Piperacillin	2 x 4 g
Mezlocillin	2 x 4 g
Minocyclin	200-300 mg
Acithromycin	250 mg
Clarithromycin	1 gm
Gemifloxacin	320 mg
Tinidazol	250 mg

Metronidazol 400 mg

Duration of treatment in general 3 months or longer

The mechanisms of antibiotic effectiveness of different antibiotics are demonstrated in table 5. They are the basis for the synchronically combined antibiotics.

Table 5
Antibiotic treatment of LB
Mechanisms of antibiotic effectiveness of different antibiotics

High tissue concentration	Intracellularly effective	Effective in CNS	Effective against cysts (round bodies)	Effective against biofilm
Betalactames (Ceftriaxone Cefotaxim Carbapeneme Piperacillin Mezlocillin)	Minocyclin Acithromycin Clarithromycin Gemifloxacin	Betalactames Minocyclin Acithromycin Gemifloxacin	Tinidazol Metronidazol	Tinidazol Metronidazol (POA)* (Otoha)**

Phytotherapeutica:

*POA= pentacyclic oxindol-alcaloid (*Uncaria tomentosa*)

**Otoha (*Otoha parvifolia*)

Antibiotics suitable for synchronically combined long-term antibiotics are displayed in table 6.

As mentioned above, there is no single antibiotic for an effective treatment. Therefore it is necessary to combine antibiotics synchronically for a sufficient period of time.

The principle of a synchronically combined antibiotics is visualized by frequently used treatment schedules (table 7). Some other combinations and alternatives are depicted in table 8.

Table 6:
Synchronically combined antibiotics in LB stage III
(overview)

Antibiotic	Dosage	Duration
Betalactames		
Ceftriaxone	2 g daily	3 months
Cefotaxim	2 x 4 g daily	(depending on course of disease and
Imipenem	2 x 1 g	

Meropenem	2 x 1 g	treatment success, duration of treatment approximately 1 month beyond resolution of symptoms)
Mezlocillin	2 x 4 g	
Ertapenem	1 g	
Piperacillin	2 x 4 g	
Tetracycline		
Minocyclin	200 mg daily	
Doxycyclin	400 mg daily	
Macrolides		
Acithromycin	500 mg daily	
Clarithromycin	2 x 500 mg daily	
Chinolones		
Gemifloxacin	320 mg daily	
Tinidazol	250 mg	
Metronidazol	400 mg	

Remark: Principally a third-generation cephalosporine should be used. If cephalosporines are ineffective, alternatives are imipenem, meropenem, mezlocillin or piperacillin. Tinidazol and metronidazol are effective on cysts (round bodies) and biofilms, tinidazol is more effective than metronidazol. The combined antibiotics therefore should include tinidazol (if not available metronidazol). Synchronously combined antibiotic treatment in general includes three antibiotics: third- generation- cephalosporine, minocyclin, tinidazol or metronidazol. Minocyclin and gemifloxacin are the only antibiotics, which penetrate into the CNS and which are effective intracellularly. Acithromycin reaches high concentrations in the cerebral tissue, but is not found in cerebrospinal fluid, i.e. Acithromycin is equivalent to Minocyclin. If cephalosporines and Minocyclin are not tolerated, gemifloxacin may be an alternative (effective in CNS and intracellularly).

Tables 7 and 8 contain examples for a synchronically combined antibiotics.

Table 7
Synchronically combined antibiotics of LB stage III
(frequently used treatment protocols)

Example A

Ceftriaxone	effective in CNS
	high concentration in tissue
+ Minocyclin	effective in CNS
	intracellularly effective
+ Tinidazol	effective on cysts and biofilms

Example B

Acithromycin	effective in CNS
	intracellularly effective
+ Minocyclin	effective in CNS

+ Tinidazol	intracellularly effective effective against cysts (round bodies) and biofilms
<u>Example C</u> Acithromycin	effective in CNS intracellularly effective
+ Tinidazol	effective against cysts (round bodies) and biofilms
+ POA + Otoba (and/or Serrapeptidase)	effective against biofilms

Table 8
Synchronically combined antibiotics of LB stage III
(examples, overview)

Ceftriaxone 2 g
Minocyclin 150-300 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Ceftriaxone 2 g
Minocyclin 150-300 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Ceftriaxone 2 g
Acithromycin 250 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Gemifloxacin 320 mg
Acithromycin 250 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Acithromycin 250 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Minocyclin 150-300 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Acithromycin 250 mg
Minocyclin 150-300 mg
Tinidazol 250 mg

Alternatives to Acithromycin 250 mg

- Clarithromycin 1 g

Alternatives to Ceftriaxone 2 g

- Cefotaxime 2x4 g
- Ertapenem 1 g
- Imipenem 2x1 g
- Mezlocillin 2x4 g
- Piperacillin / Tazobactam 2x4 g
- Benzyl-Penicillin-Benzathin 1.2 Mio IE 2 times per week

Phytotherapeutica may also be used against *Borrelia burgdorferi*. POA (pentacyclic oxindol-alcaloid) and Otopa (*otoba parvifolia*, active substance: farnesyl-homogentisin-acid) have proven effective against mobile spirochetes, cystic forms (round bodies) and biofilms (81). Effectiveness of Serrapeptidase was found in in-vitro experiments with different bacteria (82, 83, 84).

References

1. Steere AC Malawista SE, Snyderman DR, Shope RE, Andiman WA, Ross MR, Steele FM. Lyme arthritis: an epidemic of oligoarticular arthritis in children and adults in three Connecticut communities. *Arthritis Rheum* 1977; 20(1):7-17.
2. Steere AC Hutchinson GJ, Rahn DW, Sigal LH, Craft JE, DeSanna ET, Malawista SE. Treatment of the Early Manifestations of Lyme Disease. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1983; 99(1):22-26.
3. Burgdorfer W. Discovery of the Lyme disease spirochete: A historical review. *Zbl Bakt Hyg A* 1986; 263:7-10.
4. Svartz N. Penicillinbehandling vid dermatitis atrophicans Herxheimer. *Nord Med* 1946; 32, 2783.
5. Hollström E. Successful treatment of erythema migrans Afzelius. *Acta Derm Venereol (Stockholm)* 1951; 31:235-243.
6. Weber K. Erythema-chronicum-migrans-Meningitis: eine bakterielle Infektionskrankheit? *Münch med Wochenschr*, 116:1933-38, 1974
7. Afzelius A. Erythema chronicum migrans. *Acta Derm Venereol (Stockh)*1921; 2:120-5.
8. Nadelman RB Luger SW, Frank E, Wisniewski M, Collins JJ, Wormser GP. Comparison of Cefuroxime Axetil and Doxycycline in the Treatment of Early Lyme Disease, *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1992; 117(4):273-280.
9. Steere AC. Seronegative Lyme disease. *JAMA* 1993; 270(11): 1369.
10. Weber K Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Thurmayer R, Neubert U, Scherwitz C. A randomized Trial of Ceftriaxone versus Oral Penicillin for the Treatment of Early European Lyme Borreliosis. *Infection* 1990; 18(2): 91-96.
11. Weber K et al, Clinical features of Lyme Borreliosis, In: Weber K, Burgdorfer W: Aspects of Lyme Borreliosis. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 93-104, 1993
12. Strle F Preac-Mursic V, Cimperman J, Ruzic E, Maraspin V, Jereb M. Azithromycin versus doxycycline for treatment of erythema migrans: clinical and microbiological findings. *Infection* 1993; 21(2): 83-8.
13. Manning, PG. Fulminant refractory Lyme disease, *Iowa Med* 1989; 79:277-80.
14. Preac-Mursic V, Weber K, Pfister HW, Wilske B, Gross B, Baumann A, Prokop J. Survival of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in antibioticly treated patients with Lyme borreliosis. *Infection*1989; 17(6): 355-9.
15. Mursic VP, Wanner G, Reinhardt S, Wilske B, Busch U, Marget W. Formation and cultivation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* spheroplast L-form variants. *Infection* 1996; 24(3): 218-26.

16. Gasser, R, Reisiger E, Eber B, Pokan R, Seinost G, Berglöff J, Horwarth R, Sedaj B, Klein W. Cases of Lyme borreliosis resistant to conventional treatment: improved symptoms with cephalosporin plus specific beta-lactamase inhibition. *Microb Drug Resist* 1995; 1(4):341-4.
17. Limbach, FX, Jaulhac B, Puechal X, Monteil H, Kuntz JL, Piemont Y, Sibilia J. Treatment resistant Lyme arthritis caused by *Borrelia garinii*. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2001; 60:284-6.
18. Thanassi, WT and Schoen RT, The Lyme disease vaccine: conception, development, and implementation. *Ann Intern Med* 2000; 132(8):661-668.
19. Dattwyler RJ Halperin JJ, Volkman DJ, Luft BJ. Treatment of late Lyme-Borreliosis – Randomised comparison of Ceftriaxone and Penicillin. *Lancet* 1988, 1(8596):1191-4.
20. Hassler D, Zöller L, Haude M, Hufnagel HD, Heinrich F, Sonntag HG. Cefotaxime versus penicillin in the late stage of Lyme disease -prospective, randomized therapeutic study. *Infection* 1990; 18(1): 16-20.
21. Hassler D, riedel K, Zorn J, Preac-Mursic V. Pulsed high dose cefotaxime therapy in refractory Lyme borreliosis. *Lancet* 1991; 338(8760):193.
22. Hassler D. Cefotaxim in der Behandlung der chronischen Lyme-Borreliose. *Fortschr Antimicr Antineopl Chemother* 1992; 11:109-118.
23. Hassler D, Maiwald M. Zweimalige Re-Infektion mit *Borrelia burgdorferi* bei einem immunkompetenten Patienten. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1994; 119: 338-42.
24. Liu NY, et al. Randomized trial of doxycycline vs. amoxicillin/probenecid for the treatment of Lyme arthritis: treatment of non responders with iv penicillin or ceftriaxone. *Arthritis Rheum* 1989; 32: 46.
25. Steere AC Levin RE, Molloy PJ, Kalish RA, Abraham JH 3rd, Liu NY, Schmid CH. Treatment of Lyme Arthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 1994; 37(6):878-88.
26. Halperin JJ. Abnormalities of the nervous System in Lyme Disease: Response to antimicrobial Therapy. *Rev. of Inf. Dis. Vol II* 1989; Sppl. 6, 1499-1504.
27. Hassler D. Langzeitbeobachtungen zum Krankheitsbild der Lyme-Borreliose in einem Endemiegebiet. *Habilitationsschrift Universität Erlangen*, 1997.
28. Koning J de. *Histopathologic Aspects of Lyme Borreliosis*. Selbstverlag Groningen 1995, 145 S.
29. Kraiczy P Skerka C, Kirschfink M, Zipfel PF, Brade V. Mechanism of complement resistance of pathogenic *Borrelia burgdorferi* isolates. *Intern Immunopharmacol* 2001; 1(3):393-401.
30. Kraiczy P Skerka C, Kirschfink M, Zipfel PF, Brade V. Immune evasion of *Borrelia burgdorferi*; Insufficient killing of the pathogen by complement and antibody. *Int J Med Microbiol* 2002; 291 Suppl.33:141-46.
31. Kraiczy P Skerka C, Zipfel PF, Brade V. Complement regulator-acquiring surface proteins of *Borrelia burgdorferi*: A new protein family involved in complement resistance. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2002; 114(13-14): 568-73.
32. Duray PH, Steere AC. Clinical pathologic correlations of Lyme disease by stage. In: *Lyme disease and related disorders*. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1988; 539: 65-79.
33. Hunfeld KP Ruzic-Sabljić E, Norris DE, Kraiczy P, Strle F. In vitro susceptibility testing of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato* isolates cultured from patients with erythema migrans before and after antimicrobial chemotherapy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, 49(4): 1294-301.
34. Dattwyler RJ Halperin JJ, Pass H, Luft BJ. Ceftriaxone as effective therapy in refractory Lyme disease. *J Infect Dis* 1987; 155:1322-5.

35. Manning PG. Fulminant refractory Lyme disease. *Iowa Med* 1989; 79:277-80.
36. Preac-Mursic V et al, Formation and cultivation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* spheroplast-L-form variants, *Infection* 24:218-226, 1996
37. Phillips SE, Mattman LH, Hulinska D, Moayad H. Proposal for the reliable culture of *Borrelia burgdorferi* from patients with chronic Lyme disease, even from those previously aggressively treated. *Infection* 1995; 26:364-7.
38. Hunfeld K-P. Contributions to Seroepidemiology, Diagnosis, and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Borrelia*, *Ehrlichia*, and *Babesia* as Indigenous Tick-conducted Pathogens. Shaker Verlag Aachen 2004; Band 2.
39. Kraiczy P. Natürliche Komplementresistenz und humorale Immunabwehr bei *Borrelia burgdorferi*, dem Erreger der Lyme-Borreliose. Shaker Verlag Aachen 2004; Band 1.
40. Oksi J, Nikoskelainen J, Viljanen MK. Comparison of oral cefixime and intravenous ceftriaxone followed by oral amoxicillin in disseminated Lyme borreliosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1988; 17(10):715-9.
41. Fallon BA, Keilp JG, Corbera KM, Petrova E, Britton CB, Dwyer E, Slavov I, Cheng J, Dobkin J, Nelson DR, Sackheim HA. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of repeated IV antibiotic therapy for Lyme encephalopathy. *Neurology* 2008; 70(13):992-1003, Epub 2007.
42. Massengo SA, Bonnet F, Braun C, Vital A, Beylot J, Bastard J. Severe neuroborreliosis: The benefit of prolonged high-dose combination of antimicrobial agents with steroids--an illustrative case. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 51(2):127-30.
43. Logigian EL, Kaplan RF, Steere AC. Successful treatment of Lyme encephalopathy with intravenous ceftriaxone. *J Infect Dis* 1999; 180(2):377-83.
44. Kaplan R, Trevino RP, Johnson GM, Levy L, Dornbush R, Hu LT, Evans J, Weinstein A, Schmid CH, Klempner MS. Cognitive function in post-treatment Lyme disease: do additional antibiotics help? *Neurology* 2003; 60(12):1916-22.
45. Krupp I, Hyman LG, Grimson R, Coyle PK, Melville P, Ahnn S, Dattwyler R, Chandler B. Study and treatment of post Lyme disease (STOP-LD): a randomized double masked clinical trial. *Neurology* 2003; 60(12):1923-30.
46. Kleemann W et al. Prolonged antibiotic therapy in PCR confirmed persistent Lyme disease **(in Vorbereitung)?**
47. Strle F et al, Azithromycin versus doxycycline for the treatment of erythema migrans: clinical and microbiological findings, *Infection* 21(2):83-88, 1993
48. Pfister HW, Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Schielke E, Sörgel F, Einjüpl KM. Randomized comparison of ceftriaxone and cefotaxime in Lyme neuroborreliosis. *J Infect Dis* 1991; 163(2):311-8.
49. Kohlhepp W, Oschmann P, Mertens HG. Treatment of Lyme borreliosis. Randomized comparison of doxycycline and penicillin G. *J Neurol* 1989; 236(8):464-69.
50. Kristoferitsch W, Baumhackl U, Sluga E, Stanek G, Zeiler K. High-dose Penicillin therapy in meningopolyneuritis of Garin-Bujadoux-Bannwarth: Clinical and cerebrospinal fluid data. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Microbiol Hyg A* 1987; 263(3):357-64.
51. Brorson O und Brorson SH. An in vitro study of the susceptibility of mobile and cystic forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to hydroxychloroquine. *Int Microbiol* 2002; 5(1):25-31.
52. Brorson O und Brorson SH. An in vitro study of the susceptibility of mobile and cystic forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* to metronidazole. *APMIS* 1999; 107(6):566-76.

53. Asch ES, Bujak DI, Weiss M, Peterson MG, Weinstein A. Lyme disease: an infectious and postinfectious syndrome. *Rheumatol* 1994; 21(3):454-56.
54. Klempner M, Hu LT, Evans J, Schmid CH, Johnson GM, Trevino RP, Norton D, Levy L, Wall D, McCall J, Kosinski M, Weinstein A. Two controlled trials of antibiotic treatment in patients with persistent symptoms and a history of Lyme disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 345(2):85-92.
55. Gasser R und Dusleag J. Oral treatment of late lyme borreliosis with roxythromycine plus co-trimoxazole. *Lancet* 1990; 1189-90.
56. Johnson RC. Isolation techniques for spirochetes and their sensitivity to antibiotics in vitro and in vivo. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989; 11 Suppl 6:1505-10.
57. Mursic VP, Wilske B, Schierz G, Holmburger M, Süss E. In vitro and in vivo susceptibility of *Borrelia burgdorferi*, *Eur J Clin Microbios* 1987; 6(4):424-6.
58. Sigal LH. Treatment of Lyme Disease. UpToDate 2006.
59. Ziska MH et al, Physician Preferences in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Lyme Disease in the United States. *Infection* 24 No. 2, MMV Medizin Verlag GmbH München 1996.
60. Dattwyler RJ, Volkman DJ, Conaty SM, Platkin SP, Luft BJ. Amoxicillin plus probenecid versus doxycycline for treatment of erythema migrans borreliosis. *Lancet* 1990; 336(8728):1404-6.
61. Pfister HW, Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Einhäupl KM. Cefotaxime vs penicillin G for acute neurologic manifestations in Lyme borreliosis. A prospective randomized study. *Arch Neurol* 1989; 46(11):1190-4.
62. Preac-Mursic V, Wilske B, Schierz G, Süss E, Gross B. Comparative antimicrobial activity of the new macrolides against *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1989; 8(7):651-3.
63. Kersten A, Poitschek C, Rauch S, Alberer E. Effects of penicillin, ceftriaxone, and doxycycline on morphology of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995; 39(5):1127-33.
64. Luft BJ, Volkman DJ, Halperin JJ, Dattwyler RJ. New chemotherapeutic approaches in the treatment of Lyme borreliosis. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1988; 539:352-361.
65. Stille et al, *Antibiotika-Therapie*, 11. Auflage, Schattauer Verlag Stuttgart, 2006
66. Sapi E, MacDonald A. Biofilms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in chronic cutaneous borreliosis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2008; 129:988-9.
67. Dunham-Ems SM, Caimano MJ, Pal U, Wolgemuth CW, Eggers CH, Balic A, Radolf JD. Live imaging reveals a bisphasic mode of dissemination of *Borrelia burgdorferi* within ticks. *J Clin Invest* 2009; 119(12):3652-65.
68. Eisendle M et al. Biofilms of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in chronic cutaneous borreliosis. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2008; 129:988-989.
69. Sapi E, Bastian S, Mpoy Cm, Scott S, Rattelle A, Pabbati N, Poruri A, Burugu D, Theophilus TA, Pham TV, Datar A, Dhaliwal NK, MacDonald A, Rossi MJ, Sinha SK, Luecke DF. Characterization of biofilm formation by *Borrelia burgdorferi* in vitro. *PLoS One* 2012; 7(10):e48277.
70. Sapi E, Kaur N, Anyanwu S, Luecke DF, Datar A, Patel S, Rossi M, Stricker RB. Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. 2011. *Infection and Drug Resistance* 2011; 4 97-113.
71. Nanagara R, Duray PH, Schumacher HR Jr. Ultrastructural demonstration of spirochetal antigens in synovial fluid and synovial membrane in chronic Lyme disease: possible factors contributing to persistence of organisms. *Hum Pathol* 1996; 27(10):1025-34.

72. Brorson O, Brorson SH, Scythes J, MacAllister J, Wier A, Margulis L. Destruction of spirochetes *Borrelia burgdorferi* round body propagules (RBs) by the antibiotic tygecycline. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009; 106(44):18656-61.
73. Yang X, Nguyen A, Qiu D, Luft BJ. In vitro activity of tige cycline against multiple strains of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 63(4): 709-12.
74. [Feder HM Jr](#), [Whitaker DL](#). Misdiagnosis of erythema migrans. [Am J Med](#) 1995; 99(4):412-9.
75. [Asch ES](#), [Bujak DI](#), [Weiss M](#), [Peterson MG](#), [Weinstein A](#). Lyme disease: an infectious and postinfectious syndrome. [J Rheumatol](#) 1994; 21(3):454-61.
76. [Steere AC](#). Lyme disease. [N Engl J Med](#) 1989; 321(9):586-96.
77. [Steere AC](#), [Dhar A](#), [Hernandez J](#), Fischer PA, Sikand VK, Schoen RT, Nowakowski J, McHugh G, Persing DH. Systemic symptoms without erythema migrans as the presenting picture of early Lyme disease. [Am J Med](#) 2003; 114(1):58-62.
78. IDSA practice guidelines for the treatment of Lyme disease. UpToDate 2006.
79. [Dattwyler RJ](#), [Halperin JJ](#), [Volkman DJ](#), [Luft BJ](#). Treatment of late Lyme borreliosis--randomised comparison of ceftriaxone and penicillin. [Lancet](#) 1988; 1(8596):1191-4.
80. [Wormser GP](#), [Dattwyler RJ](#), [Shapiro ED](#), Halperin JJ, Steere AC, Klempner MS, Krause PJ, Bakken JS, Strle F, Stanek G, Bockenstedt L, Fish D, Dumler JS, Nadelman RB. The clinical assessment, treatment, and prevention of lyme disease, human granulocytic anaplasmosis, and babesiosis: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. [Clin Infect Dis](#) 2006; 43(9):1089-134.
81. Datar A, Kaur N, Patel S, Luecke DF, Sapi E. In Vitro Effectiveness of Samento and Banderol Herbal Extracts on the Different Morphological Forms of *Borrelia Burgdorferi*. University of New Haven 2010.
82. Papa R, Artini M, Cellini A, Tilotta M, Galano E, Pucci P, Amoresano A, Selan L. A new anti-infective strategy to reduce the spreading of antibiotic resistance by the action on adhesion-mediated virulence factors in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microb Pathog* 2013; 63:44-53.
83. Longhi C, Scoarughi GL, Poggiali F, Cellini A, Carpentieri A, Seganti L, Pucci P, Amoresano A, Coconcelli PS, Artini M, Costerton JW, Selan L. Protease treatment affects both invasion ability and biofilm formation in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Microb Pathog* 2008; 45(1):45-42.
84. Mecikoglu M, Saygi B, Yildirim Y, Karadag-Saygi E, Ramadan SS, Esemeli T. The effect of proteolytic enzyme serrationpeptidase in the treatment of experimental implant-related infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006; 88(6):1208-14.